

1714-017

Norfolk Co (Suffolk)

Chancery Causes: Ellen Woolridge, gdn vs Emma Mitchell et al

Brewer, Baker

..S.E.Everett,.....p. q.

Copy of Costs

Taxed in the Suit of:

..Ellen Woodridge, Grd..

vs.

..Emma Mitchell et als.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF NANSEMOND COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

Writ Tax

1.50

Clerk

7.72

Sheriff

0.00

Attorney

9 22

Commissioner

Printer, for Order of Publication, etc.

Date of Judgment or Final Decree

191

Paid

May 30 - 1914.

S. J. Parker,
Deputy Clerk

Copy of Costs

Taxed in the Suit of:

John K. Hutton, p. q.

Oliver Hordridge, Guardian

vs.

Emma Mitchell et al.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF NANSEMOND COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

Writ Tax

1 50

Clerk

6 34

Sheriff

X

Attorney

15 00

Commissioner

X

Printer, for Order of Publication, etc.

~~82286~~

Date of Judgment or Final Decree

191

Paid

191

Clerk

Copy of Costs

Taxed in the Suit of:

John R. Hutton, p. q.

Ellen W. Bridges, Adm.

vs.

Emma Ditchree et al.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF NANSEMOND COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

Writ Tax

1 50

Clerk

7 50

Sheriff

00

Attorney

15 00

Commissioner

\$ 24 22

Printer, for Order of Publication, etc.

Date of Judgment or Final Decree

1914

Paid

May 25, 1914

W. E. Burnton
Clerk

TO GEORGE E. BUNTING, ESQ., CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
NANSEMOND COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

Ellen Woodbridge, Guardian of Clarence Woodbridge,
infant under the age of twenty-one years.....Complainant.

vs. In Chancery.

Emma Mitchell, Clarence Woodbridge, Van Della Mitchell,
Virginia Olivia Mitchell, Willie Mitchell, and Louise
Mitchell, the last five being infants under the age of
twenty-one years.....Defendants.

Issue summons to First (First Monday) Rules in April, 1914.

John H. Hutton p.q.

Ellen Woodbridge, Guardian

vs. MEMORANDUM

Emma Mitchell et als.

Memorandum filed.

Process to 1st April Rules returned executed.

Bill filed 1st April Rules and guardian ad litem appointed.

Answers of infant children by guardian ad litem and of guardian ad litem for infant children.

Answer of Emma Mitchell, adult defendant.

Depositions taken, all parties being represented, on the 2nd. day of May.

Decree.

CHANCERY SUMMONS.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA.

TO THE SHERIFF OF NANSEMOND COUNTY—GREETING:

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED TO SUMMON... Emma Mitchell, Clarence Woodridge,
Van Delia Mitchell, Virginia Olivia Mitchell, Willie Mitchell and Louise
Mitchell, the last five being infants under the age of twenty-one years...

to appear at the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, at the Rules to be held for the
said Court, on the ~~First~~ Monday in ~~April~~ 1914, to answer a Bill in
Chancery, exhibited against them
in the said Court by Ellen Woodridge, Guardian of Clarence Woodridge, infant under
the age of twenty-one years

And have then and there this summons.

Witness. G. E. Bunting, Clerk of our said Court, at his office, this 4th day of
April, 1914, in the 138th year of the Commonwealth.

A copy—Teste:

Teste:

Clerk.

Clerk.

Legal service of the within process is hereby accepted:

Clarence Woolridge, Van Della Mitchell, Virginia Olevia Mitchell, Willie Mitchell and Louise Mitchell, by *King R. K. Adams*, their guardian ad litem.

Emma Mitchell, by *John B. K. Adams*, her attorney.

Dismissed

John H. Hutton, p. q.

Clarence Woolridge, et al.

vs. In Chancery.

Emma Mitchell et al.

1911.

Admitted Rules.

Dismissed Monday.

NANSEMOND COUNTY COURT,

Process Book, No. 3...

Page 122

Executed this..... day of....., 1911... by delivering a true copy of the within process
to
in person, in the County of Nansmond, State of Virginia.
.....
Sheriff.

G.E. Bunting,

I, ~~XXXXXX XX XX XXXX~~, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, Virginia,
do certify that the bond required of
John K. Hutton, _____ as Special Commissioner under the decree of said Court
made on the 13th day of May, 1914, _____, ~~xxx~~, in the Chancery cause pending
in said court between _____
Ellen Woolridge, Guardian/ et als,
_____, complainants, and _____
Emma Mitchell et als, _____, defendants,
has been executed with security deemed sufficient by me.

Given under my hand this 25th day of May, 1914 ~~xxx~~.

G.E. Bunting, _____, Clerk.
BY S. J. Parker, D.C.

TO THE HONORABLE JAMES L. MOLEMORE, JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT
FOR HANSEMOND COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

Ellen Woolridge, Guardian.. Complainant.
vs.

Emma Mitchell et als.....Defendants.

Your Commissioner, John K. Hutton, appointed by your Honor in
the above-entitled cause, on the 13th. day of May, 1914, to con-
vey certain property described in said decree to Albert Riddick
upon payment to said Commissioner by said Albert Riddick of the
sum of \$200.00 and to invest said proceeds, after deducting \$50.00
for expenses of this suit in a house and lot near Suffolk, fully
described in said decree, respectfully submits the following report:

Having executed the required bond, your Commissioner, upon pay-
ment to him of the said sum of \$200.00 by said Albert Riddick, con-
veyed to the said Albert Riddick, with special warranty, the land
of Clarence Woolridge, Infant, situated in Hansemond County and
fully described in said decree.

Ellen Woolridge, Guardian, upon payment to her of \$150.00 of the
proceeds of said sale, caused to be conveyed to the said Clarence
Woolridge the house and lot near Suffolk, which deed of conveyance
is herewith filed for your Honor's approval.

Said Ellen Woolridge, Guardian of Clarence Woolridge, executed
a note for \$250.00 payable to John K. Hutton, Administrator, and
endorsed by S. E. Everett, together with a deed of trust securing
the same on the said house and lot, which deed of trust, filed
herewith for your Honor's approval, in accordance with said decree,
was given subject ^{To} the \$150.00 invested as aforesaid and refers to
the terms of said decree.

Your Commissioner, who is also counsel in this cause, deducted
\$50.00 for cost of this suit and counsel's fee, voucher for which
cost of suit is herewith filed.

Respectfully Submitted:

John K. Hutton, Special Commissioner

Report of Special Commissioner

1914 June 5, filed

Ellen Woolridge, Guardian.

vs.

Erma Mitchell et als.

Report of Special Commissioner
1914 June 5, filed
J.M.

Ellen Woolridge, Guardian.
vs.
Erma Mitchell et als.

Report of Special Commissioner
1914 June 5, filed
J.M.

Report of Special Commissioner
1914 June 5, filed
J.M.

Report of Special Commissioner
1914 June 5, filed
J.M.

Report of Special Commissioner
1914 June 5, filed
J.M.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF NANSEMOND COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

Ellen Woolridge, Guardian of Clarence Woolridge,
infant under the age of twenty-one years.....Complainant.
vs.

Emma Mitchell, Clarence Woolridge, Van Della
Mitchell, Virginia Olevia Mitchell, Willie
Mitchell, and Louise Mitchell, the last five
being infants under the age of twenty-one years.....Defendants.

This cause, which has regularly matured at rules, came on this day to be heard on the bill of complaint, the answer of the infant defendants, Clarence Woolridge, Van Della Mitchell, Virginia Olevia Mitchell, Willie Mitchell, and Louise Mitchell, by their guardian ad litem, Hugh L. Holland, duly assigned at rules to defend the interest of said infants, the answer of Hugh L. Holland, guardian ad litem to said infants, the answer of adult defendant, Emma Mitchell, the depositions of witnesses, R. L. Brewer, Jr. and J. A. Baker, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, it appearing to the Court that the interest of said infant, Clarence Woolridge, would be promoted by the sale of the ten acre tract of land in Nansemond County hereinafter described for the sum of \$200.00 and a reinvestment of the proceeds of said sale in a house and lot near Suffolk, hereinafter more fully described, the Court doth adjudge, order and decree, that John K. Hutton, who is hereby appointed Special Commissioner for the purpose, execute a deed conveying, with special warranty, to Albert Riddick for the sum of \$200.00 the following described property: That certain piece of parcel of land, situated and being in Holy Neck Magisterial District, Nansemond County, Virginia, near Savage's Crossing, and bounded on the east by the Somerton Road, on the south by the lands of Mary Anne Milteer, on the north by the land known as the Jesse E. Holland estate, and on the west by the lands of John Holmes and C. E. Duke, and containing ten acres, more or less, and being the same land conveyed to the said Clarence Woolridge by Cyrus H. Ellis and wife, by deed dated the 8th. day of September, 1911, and recorded in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, in Deed Book 70, Page 502; and to apply the proceeds of said sale to the purchase of a house and

lot near Suffolk, said lot being described as follows: That certain tract of land situated in Nansemond County, Virginia, fronting on Columbus Avenue forty feet, running back therefrom in parallel lines one hundred feet, and designated as lot No. 9, in Block 4 on the plat of the newly opened building lots situated near the Fair Ground; and that the said John K. Hutton, Special Commissioner, is directed to have said lot above described with its appurtenances conveyed in fee simple to the said Clarence Woolridge free from all liens except as hereinafter stated. The said John K. Hutton, Special Commissioner, is directed to execute this order as follows:

FIRST: Deduct \$50.00 from the \$200.00, for which the land in the County sold, for the expenses of this suit including fees for counsel and for recording all deeds.

SECOND: That said Ellen Woolridge, Guardian of Clarence Woolridge, execute a deed of trust on the said house and lot near Suffolk for the sum of \$250.00; but said deed of trust shall be subject to \$150.00, which has been invested in said house and lot from the proceeds of said sale of said land in Nansemond County. The said deed of trust shall refer to this order and follow strictly its provisions. It is further adjudged, ordered and decreed that this is done to protect fully the interest of said Clarence Woolridge to the extent of \$150.00 in case sale should be made under the deed of trust; that is, the deed of trust creditor should receive nothing until the said \$150.00 should be paid to the said Clarence Woolridge.

But the said John K. Hutton shall not act under this decree until he shall have entered into bond with sufficient security in the Clerk's Office of this Court payable to the Commonwealth of Virginia, in the penalty of Three hundred dollars, and conditioned for the faithful discharge of his duty under this or any other decree entered in this cause. And the said John K. Hutton is required to report his proceedings hereunder to this Court, setting out fully his transactions under this decree.

Ellen Woolridge, Guardian, et al.

vs. Decree

Emma Mitchell et als

Enter this 13 day of May, 1914.

Wm L. Moore.

Entered in Chancery Order Book,

Number 4, Page 643 :

Ellen Woolridge, Guardian.....Complainant.

vs. Depositions.

Emma Mitchell, et als.....Defendants.

Depositions of J. A. Parker and R. L. Brewer, Jr., witnesses, taken before me, Lucie F. Harrell, a Notary Public of and for the County of Nansemond, in the State of Virginia on the 1st. day of May and on the 2nd. day of May, at the office of Hugh L. Holland, Suffolk, Virginia, the same to be read in the above-styled cause pending in the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, Virginia.

Present: John K. Hutton, Attorney for complainant, and Emma Mitchell
Hugh L. Holland, Guardian ad Litem to infant defendants.

P. L. Brewer, Jr., being duly sworn, deposeth as follows:

Q. Please state your name, age, residence and occupation.

A. My name is R. L. Brewer, Jr. I am a merchant. I am 50 years of age, and reside in Suffolk.

Q. Have you read the bill of the complainant in this case?

A. I have.

Q. Will you please state how much you sold the lot mentioned in the bill for and what you consider a fair valuation of the house and lot.

A. I sold the lot to Ellen Woolridge for \$100.00, the amount I get for lots of this class. She has built a two-story house on the lot, which, I suppose, would make the house and lot cost about \$500.00.

Q. Do you know anything about the tract of land in Nansemond County owned by Clarence Woolridge?

A. I do not, but I understand the grandmother of the boy wishes to sell that piece of property in the country and apply the proceeds of the sale on the house and lot near Suffolk, and, after applying these proceeds in this manner, it is my understanding that there will still be an indebtedness of about \$225.00. I understand further that Ellen Woolridge gets a pension and will continue to pay on this indebtedness. Since these things are so, if \$200.00 is the full value of the land in the country, I think it would be to the advantage of the infant and all concerned to sell the land in the country and apply the proceeds on the house and lot in the country, if the house and lot can be conveyed to Clarence Woolridge subject to a lien not to exceed \$225.00.

And further this deponent saith not.

R. L. Brewer, Jr.

J. A. Baker, being duly sworn, deposeth as follows:

Q. State your name, age, residence and occupation.

A. My name is J. A. Baker. I live in Nansemond County and am a farmer. I am sixty years of age.

Q. Do you know the little tract of land containing about ten acres in front of Frank Rodgers place near Savage's Crossing and now owned by Clarence Woolridge? If so, please state what you think a fair valuation of the said land.

A. Yes, I am familiar with the land. The timber was sold off a few years ago. There is nothing on it now but stumps and undergrowth. There is no cleared land. There is a little frame house on it that does not amount to much. I think \$200.00 a good price for the land. In fact, if I had not been told it would sell for that, I would have said it would not bring such a price.

And further this deponent saith not.

J. A. Baker

STATE OF VIRGINIA,

COUNTY OF NANSEMOND, TO-WIT:

I, Susie F. Harrell, a Notary Public of and for the County of Nansemond, in the State of Virginia, do certify that the foregoing depositions were duly taken, reduced to writing, and signed by the witnesses, respectively, before me, at the place and the times therein mentioned by consent of all parties.

Given under my hand this 2nd. day of May, 1914

Susie F. Harrell N.P.

My term of office expires the 2nd day of October, 1917.

Depositions

Ellen Woolridge, Guardian.....Complainant.

vs.

Emma Mitchell et als.....Defendants.

This cause came on this day to be finally heard on the papers formerly read and on the report of John T. Hutton, Special Commissioner, filed on the 5th. day of June 1914, which report shows that the land of Clarence Woolridge, situated in Hensemond County and described in these proceedings, was duly conveyed to Albert Riddick, on payment by said Albert Riddick of the sum of \$200.00; that Ellen Woolridge, Guardian, upon payment to her of the sum of \$150.00 out of the proceeds of said sale, caused to be conveyed to the said Clarence Woolridge the house and lot near Suffolk described in these proceedings; that the said Ellen Woolridge executed a deed of trust for \$250.00 on the said house and lot subject to the \$150.00 invested as aforesaid; that \$50.00 was deducted from the proceeds of the sale of the said land in Hensemond County for the costs of this suit and counsel's fee; and that ~~the~~ said costs have been paid; to which report there is no exception, and was argued by counsel:

On consideration whereof, the Court doth approve and confirm said report, and there remaining nothing further to be done in this suit, the Court doth adjudge, order and decree that this cause be stricken from the docket.

Ellen Woolridge, Guardian.

vs.

Emma Mitchell et als

Enter 5th day June, 1914.

James M. Lomax

Entered in Chancery Order

Book, No. 4, Page 651

Ellen Woolridge, Guardian of Clarence Woolridge,
infant under the age of twenty-one years.....Complainant.
vs. Answer.

Emma Mitchell, Clarence Woolridge, Van Della Mitchell,
Virginia Olevia Mitchell, Willie Mitchell and Louise
Mitchell,.the.last.five being infants under the age
of twenty-one years.....Defendants.

The separate answer of Emma Mitchell, one of the defendants to a bill
of complaint filed against her and others in the Circuit Court of Nansemond
County by Ellen Woolridge, guardian of Clarence Woolridge, Complainant.

Your respondent, Emma Mitchell, for her answer to said bill of com-
plaint, answers and says:

I. That she is the mother of the said Clarence Woolridge, and, so
far as she knows, the allegations in said bill are true.

2. That said Ellen Woolridge has taken care of said Clarence Wool-
ridge from his babyhood, has always been kind and generous to him, and,
your respondent believes, has his interest at heart.

3. That your respondent is familiar with the said tract of land,
situated in Nansemond County and fully described in said bill, and here
states that said Cyrus H. Ellis sold the tract of land for the sum of
\$125.00, that he paid about \$50.00 for the same, and that your respondent
believes the sum of \$200.00 a good price for the said land in its present
condition.

4. That your respondent believes it would be to the advantage of the
said Clarence Woolridge to have the said land sold and the proceeds in-
vested in the said house and lot near Suffolk as set out in complainant's
bill.

And now, having fully answered the complainant's bill, this respondent
prays to be hence dismissed with her reasonable cost by her in this be-
half expended.

Emma Mitchell

Respondent.

Ellen Woolridge, Guardian,
vs. Answer of Emma Mitchell.
Emma Mitchell et als.

Ellen Woodbridge, Guardian of Clarence Woodbridge,
infant under the age of twenty-one years.....Complainant.

vs. In Chancery.

Emma Mitchell, Clarence Woodbridge, Van Della Mitchell,
Virginia Olivia Mitchell, Willie Mitchell, and Louise
Mitchell, the last five being infants under the age of
twenty-one years.....Defendants.

On motion of the Complainant, Ellen Woodbridge, Guardian of Clarence
Woodbridge, infant under the age of twenty-one years, Hugh L. Holland,
a discreet and competent attorney at law, is assigned guardian ad
litem to the infant defendants, Clarence Woodbridge, Van Della
Mitchell, Virginia Olivia Mitchell, Willie Mitchell, and Louise
Mitchell, to defend their interests in this suit.

H. L. Holland, Clerk.

Appointment of Guardian ad
Litem.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF NANSEMOND COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

Ellen Woodbridge, Guardian of Clarence Woodbridge,
infant under the age of twenty-one years.....Complainant.
vs. In Chancery.

Emma Mitchell, Clarence, Woodbridge, Van Della
Mitchell, Virginia Olivia Mitchell, Willie
Mitchell, and Louise Mitchell, the last five being
infants under the age of twenty-one years.....Defendants

The answer of Hugh L. Holland, a discreet and competent attorney
at law, guardian ad litem to the infant defendants, Clarence Wood-
ridge, Van Della Mitchell, Virginia Olivia Mitchell, Willie Mitchell,
and Louise Mitchell, and the answer of the said infant defendants by
Hugh L. Holland, guardian ad litem, to the bill in equity exhibited
against them and others by Ellen Woodbridge, guardian of Clarence Wood-
ridge.

For answer to the said bill the said infant defendants by their
said guardian ad litem answer and say that being of tender years they
do not know what their true interests are in relation to the subject
matter of said bill, nor do they know whether the statements therein
contained are true or not. They confide the protection of their in-
terests to the Court. And the said guardian ad litem, Hugh L. Holland,
to the said infant defendants for answer to the said bill answers and
says that he knows nothing as to the truth or falsity of the state-
ments in the bill contained. And now having fully answered the said
bill, they pray that no order or decree may be made in this cause in-
consistent with their interests and rights.

Hugh L. Holland,
Guardian ad litem to the infant defendants, Clarence Wood-
ridge, Van Della Mitchell, Virginia Olivia Mitchell, Willie
Mitchell, and Louise Mitchell, and the said infant defendants,
by

Hugh L. Holland,
their guardian ad litem.

STATE OF VIRGINIA,
County of nansemond, to-wit:

Sworn to before me in my County aforesaid by Hugh L. Holland, guar-
dian ad litem as aforesaid, this 3rd. day of April, 1914.

Edw. W. H. H. H.
Notary Public

Ellem Wood⁸ridge, Guardian

vs.

Emma Mitchell et als.

Answer of guardian ad litem
for infant defendants and of
infant defendants by their
guardian ad litem.

TO THE HONORABLE JAMES L. MCLEMORE, JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT
OF NANSEMOND COUNTY.

Ellen Woolridge, Guardian of Clarence Wool-
ridge, infant under the age of twenty-one years.....Complainant.

vs. In Chancery. First April Rules, 1914.

Emma Mitchell, Clarence Woolridge, Van Della
Mitchell, ^{Virginia} Olevia Mitchell, Willie Mitchell,
and Louise Mitchell, the last five being infants
under the age of twenty-one years.....Defendants.

Your Complainant, Ellen Woolridge, guardian of Clarence Woolridge,
respectfully represents:

1. That she is the guardian of Clarence Woolridge, an illegitimate
infant child of Emma Mitchell and a grandson of your Complainant; that
she was appointed and qualified as guardian of the said infant in your
Honor's court on the 4th day of April, 1914; and,

2. That said infant is thirteen years of age; and,

3. That said infant is the owner in fee simple of the following
real estate: That certain piece or parcel of land situated and being
in Holy Neck Magisterial District, Nansemond County, Virginia, near
Savage's Crossing, and bounded on the east by the Somerton Road, on the
south by the lands of Mary Anne Milteer, on the north by the land known
as the Jessie E. Holland estate, and on the west by the lands of John
Holmes and C. E. Duke, and containing ten acres, more or less, and being
the same land conveyed to the said Clarence Woolridge by Cyrus H. Ellis
and wife, by deed dated the 18th. day of September, 1911, and recorded
in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, in Deed
Book 70, Page 502; that your complainant bought and paid for the above
described land and had the same conveyed to said Clarence Woolridge;
that this is all the property said infant owns; and,

4. That ^{Ellen Woolridge} ~~she~~ purchased a lot of land from R. L. Brewer,
Jr., situated in Nansemond County, Virginia, fronting on Columbus
Avenue forty feet, running back one hundred feet, and designated as lot
No. 9, in Block 4 on plat of new piece of property situated near the

Fair Ground; that ^{Ellen Woolridge} ~~your Complainant~~ has agreed to give \$100.00 for the said lot and has paid \$25.00 on the same; that ^{Ellen Woolridge} ~~your Complainant~~ has completed a two-story house on the said lot, the said house containing five rooms; that said house is painted, but not plastered; that the house cost her \$500.00, making the house and lot cost \$600.00, that she has paid \$175.00 on the cost of erecting the house and still owes \$400.00 on both house and lot; that it was ^{Ellen Woolridge's} ~~your Complainant's~~ intention to have the house and lot conveyed to said Clarence Woolridge also, but she is growing old and finds she can not work and accumulate money as was once possible, and, has, therefore, reached the conclusion that it would be better to sell the little tract of land in the country, which is of no use to the said infant now as there is no cleared land, and apply the proceeds of said sale on the house and lot near Suffolk; that it is the desire of ^{Ellen Woolridge} ~~your Complainant~~ that the house and lot near Suffolk be conveyed to the said Clarence Woolridge subject to the amount left due on the same after the proceeds of the sale of the tract of land in the country has been applied to the payment of the said \$400.00 now due on said house and lot near Suffolk; that this would leave about \$200.00 due on said house and lot, which said sum your Complainant has arranged to borrow giving as security therefor a deed of trust on said house and lot; that it would be to ^{Ellen Woolridge} ~~your Complainant~~ the advantage of the said infant to live near the City, that both ^{said Ellen Woolridge} ~~your Complainant~~ and the said infant can secure employment in the factories, and ~~your Complainant~~ has a pension which will enable her to pay the debt secured by such deed of trust in a reasonable time; and,

6. That the heirs and distributees of the said infant if he were dead, would be Emma Mitchell, Van Della Mitchell, Virginia Olevia Mitchell, Willie Mitchell and Louise Mitchell and that such heirs and distributees are all the persons who are or can be interested in this suit; and,

7. That Albert Riddick has offered \$200.00 cash for the said land in Nansemond County, which your Complainant believes a fair price for the property in its present condition.

In tender consideration whereof, and forasmuch as your Complainant is remediless in the premises save in a Court of equity, your Complainant prays that the said Emma Mitchell, Clarence Woolridge, Van Della Mitchell, Virginia Olevia Mitchell, Willie Mitchell and Louise Mitchell be made

parties defendant to this bill; that a guardian ad litem be appointed to defend the rights of the said infant defendants Clarence Woolridge, Van Della Mitchell, ^{Virginia} Olevia Mitchell, Willie Mitchell and Louise Mitchell; that Emma Mitchell, the adult defendant, and the said infants, and the said guardian ad litem, be required to answer this bill; that the said infants may answer by their guardian ad litem, who shall answer the said bill on oath in proper person; that the said Emma Mitchell be required to answer in proper person, but not on oath, the oath being hereby waived as to her; that the real property in Nansemond County fully described in this bill may be decreed to be sold, and the proceeds invested in the said house and lot near Suffolk as aforesaid; and that your Complainant may have all such other, further and general relief in the premises as the nature of her case may require, or to equity shall seem meet.

Witness
Everett

Ellen ^{her} Woolridge,
maile
Guardian of Clarence Woolridge, Complainant.

John K. Hutton
Counsel.

STATE OF VIRGINIA,

Nansemond County, to-wit:

Ellen Woolridge, guardian of Clarence Woolridge, the Complainant named in the foregoing bill, being duly sworn, says that the facts and allegations therein contained are true, except so far as they are therein stated to be upon information, and that so far as they are therein stated to be upon information she believes them to be true.

Ellen ^{her} Woolridge,
maile
Guardian of Clarence Woolridge, Complainant.

Taken, sworn to and subscribed before me, S. E. Everett, a Notary Public of and for the County and State aforesaid, in my County aforesaid, this 6th day of April, 1914.

Everett N.P.

Ellen Woolridge, Guardian
of Clarence Woolridge

vs. Bill in Chancery.

Emma Mitchell et als

April 6, 1914

Filed
J. C. Cunningham,
Clerk.

EVERETT & HUTTON

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

SUFFOLK, VA.

CIRCUIT COURT

SECOND MONDAY IN JANUARY, MARCH, MAY,
JULY AND OCTOBER

HON. JAMES L. McLEMORE, JUDGE
GEO. E. BUNTING, CLERK
S. E. EVERETT, COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY
ALEX. H. BAKER, SHERIFF
C. R. FULGHAM, COUNTY TREASURER
LEE BRITT, COMMISSIONER OF ACCOUNTS

Hansemond County Clerk's Office

SUFFOLK, VA.,

RULE NOTES.

Ellen Woodridge, Guardian of Clarence Woodridge, an infant under twenty-one years
Vs. In Chancery.

Emma Mitchell, Clarence Woodridge, Van Delia Mitchell, Virginia Olivia Mitchell,
Willie Mitchell and Louise Mitchell, the last five being infants under the age of
twenty-one years

April 4, 1914, Process issued, returnable First April Rules,; First Monday 1914.
On motion of the Complainant, Ellen Woodridge, Grd. Hugh L. Holland is appointed
Guardian ad litem to the infant defendts.

Apr. 4, 1914
Process returned & executed, Decree filed & decree nisi.
Apr. 20, Decree nisi confirmed & cause set for hearing.